

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully considered the Examiner's advisory action of May 31, 2005 with the Examiner's objections, which if resolved, will place the application in condition for allowance.

In view thereof, applicant has amended the claims 5 and 11 to remove the objection to the term, "cylinder telescoping leg."

Applicant has also amended claims 5 and 11 to avoid the confusion expressed by the Examiner as related to "elastically tensioned circular ring."

It is believed that with the present amendment to the claims 5 and 11, the application is in condition for allowance, since the objections noted by the Examiner have been responded to, and there are no further outstanding issues.

Should the Examiner consider it advisable or require that the specification and/or claims be further amended or corrected in formal respects to place the application in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments be carried out by Examiner's amendment, through a phone call to applicant's representative, and the case passed to issue.

In view of the Examiner's comments that portions of the amended specification and claims 8 and 11 are missing from the previous amendment, applicant is submitting duplicate pages containing these missing portions.

This amendment consisting  
of a total of 7 pages is  
being transmitted by fax  
on July 6, 2005 by

*Max Fogiel*  
Max Fogiel

Respectfully submitted,

*Max Fogiel*  
Max Fogiel  
44 ple Court  
Highland Park, N.J. 08904

Phone: (732) 214-8892